

1 **DRAFT MINUTES**
2 **BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE**
3 **November 5-6, 2010**

4 **The Renaissance Hotel**
5 **4200 Jim Walter Blvd.**
6 **Tampa, FL**
7 **813-877-9200**

8
9
10 **Friday, November 5, 2010**

11
12 The meeting was called to order by Dr. Rose, Chair, at 4:00 pm. Those present for all or part of the
13 meeting included the following:

14 **MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Joel Rose, D.O., Chair
Ronald Burns, D.O.
Allan Escher, D.O.
Anna Hayden, D.O., Vice Chair
James St. Louis, D.O.
Rina A. Malan - Consumer Member

BOARD STAFF PRESENT:

Anthony Jusevitch, Executive Director
Christy Robinson, Program Operations Administrator

BOARD COUNSEL:

Donna McNulty, Esquire

COURT REPORTER:

Berryhill & Associates
813-229-8225

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH:

Tobey Schultz, Esquire

OTHERS PRESENT:

Jim Andriole, FOMA, FSMB
Jason Winn, Esq., FOMA
Judy Rivenbark, MD, PRN

15
16 **Please note that the meeting minutes reflect the actual order that agenda items were discussed**
17 **during the meeting and may differ from the agenda outline.**

18
19 **REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

20
21 **Tab 1 - Review and Approval of August 20, 2010 Rule Hearing Meeting Minutes**

22
23 **Action Taken:** Dr. Hayden moved to approve the minutes as presented. Dr. Burns seconded the
24 motion, which passed unanimously.

25
26 **Tab 2 - Review and Approval of August 20-21, 2010 Meeting Minutes**

27
28 **Action Taken:** Dr. Burns moved to approve the minutes as presented. Dr. Hayden seconded the
29 motion, which passed unanimously.

30
31 **GENERAL BUSINESS / CORRESPONDENCE**

32
33 **Tab 3 - Board Chair/Vice Chair/PRN Annual Meeting Update-Anna Hayden, DO**

34 Dr. Hayden provided the members with an overview of this year's meeting. She noted there was much
35 discussion regarding the possible creation of a scholarship for certain healthcare practitioners required
36 to participate in the PRN program.

37
38 **Tab 4 - FSMB Update on Maintenance of Licensure**

39 Dr. Andriole advised that information would be provided in the near future from the FSMB's
40 maintenance of licensure workgroup. He noted it was very important that the board review the

1 information and provide input, as well as provide the report to other interested parties. He also voiced
2 concern with the affect on Florida's physician workforce as there may be a higher number of older
3 physicians, etc. Dr. Andriole indicated a new task force was recently created to look at reentry into
4 practice.

5
6 **Tab 5 - FSMB Call for Nominations**

7 Informational only.

8
9 **Tab 6 - FSMB Board of Directors Meeting Report**

10 Dr. Andriole noted maintenance of licensure was discussed in detail during the FSMB's Board of
11 Directors meeting.

12
13 **Tab 7 - CPEP Annual Report**

14 Informational only.

15
16 **Tab 8 - NBOME Annual Report**

17 Informational only.

18
19 **Tab 9 - NOVA Medical Records Course Update**

20 Informational only.

21
22 **Tab 7 - FSMB State Medical Board Licensure Program**

23 Informational

24
25 **BOARD CHAIRMAN'S REPORT – Joel B. Rose, DO**

26
27 Dr. Rose advised the Department was currently looking at violations that could be handled via
28 mediation, rather than going through the regular disciplinary process. He noted additional information
29 would be available on this issue for the next meeting. Mr. Schultz reiterated the Department was
30 conducting additional research and working with the Attorney General's Office to create a proposal for
31 the boards.

32
33 **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT – Anthony Jusevitch**

34
35 Mr. Jusevitch advised the members that Mr. Canfield's replacement, Albert Ray, had recently been
36 hired.

37
38 **BOARD COUNSEL'S REPORT AND RULES DISCUSSION – Donna McNulty, Esq.**

39
40 Ms. McNulty advised the members there would be a special legislative session in November. One of
41 the items to be discussed was this year's HB 1565, which could have a significant impact on the rule
42 making process, as rules would have to be ratified by the legislature prior to being adopted.

43
44 **Tab 31 - 64B15-7.010 – Disciplinary Guidelines (Anesthesiology Assistants)**

45 Ms. McNulty explained the proposed changes to the rule.

46
47 **Action Taken:** Dr. Burns moved to open the rule for development and approve the proposed changes
48 as presented. Ms. Malan seconded the motion, which passed unanimously. Dr. Burns moved to find
49 that the proposed changes would have no impact on small business and a SERC was not needed. Ms.
50 Malan seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

51
52 **Tab 32 - 64B15-14.0051 – Standards of Practice for Pain Management Clinics & 64B15-14.0052**
53 **Requirement for Pain Management Clinic Registration; Inspection or Accreditation**

1 **Tab 36 - Draft Pain Management Clinic Data Reporting Form (64B15-14.0051)**

2 Tabs 32 and 36 were discussed and voted on simultaneously. Ms. McNulty explained the Board of
3 Medicine recently made changes to section in the language to require the quality assurance review by
4 a risk manager every 3 years, rather than every year. She also asked the Board to approve the
5 concept of the draft data reporting form.
6

7 **Action Taken:** After discussion, Dr. Burns moved to change the quality assurance review to every 3
8 years, continue with rule development on 64B15-14.0051 and 64B15-14.0052, and approve the data
9 collection form in concept and proceed with rulemaking on the form. Dr. Hayden seconded the motion,
10 which passed unanimously. Dr. Burns moved to find that the proposed changes would have no impact
11 on small business and a SERC was not needed. Dr. Hayden seconded the motion, which passed
12 unanimously.
13

14 **Tab 33 - 64B15-14.0053 – Approval of Nationally Recognized Physician Pain Management**
15 **Accrediting Organizations**

16 Tabs 33 and 34 were discussed simultaneously but voted on separately. Ms. McNulty advised these
17 rules would have an impact on small business and a SERC would be needed.
18

19 There was discussion concerning the inclusion of a pain management certification program by the
20 AAPS as appropriate for surveyors, as there is not currently such a program by the AAPS.
21

22 **Action Taken:** After much discussion, Dr. Escher moved to strike the AAPS from line 28 of 64B15-
23 14.0053. Ms. Malan seconded the motion, which failed 2/4. Dr. Hayden then moved to approve the
24 language as presented and open the rule for development. Dr. St. Louis seconded the motion, which
25 passed with Dr. Escher and Ms. Malan opposed. (Dr. Escher clarified his vote in opposition immediately
26 following the actual vote.) There was a motion and second to find that the rule would have an impact
27 on small business and a SERC was needed. The motion passed unanimously.
28

29 **Tab 34 - 64B15-14.0054 – Maximum Number of Prescriptions in Pain Management Clinics**

30 Tabs 33 and 34 were discussed simultaneously but voted on separately. Ms. McNulty advised these
31 rules would have an impact on small business and a SERC would be needed.
32

33 **Action Taken:** After much discussion, Dr. Escher moved to approve the language as presented and
34 open the rule for development. Dr. Hayden seconded the motion, which passed unanimously. There
35 was a motion and second to find that the rule would have an impact on small business and a SERC
36 was needed. The motion passed unanimously.
37

38 **Tab 32 - 64B15-14.0051 – Standards of Practice for Pain Management Clinics- revisit**

39 Ms. McNulty advised the Board would need to further define the term pain management clinic.
40

41 **Additional Action Taken:** Dr. Burns moved to allow Ms. McNulty to further define pain management
42 clinic. The motion was seconded and passed unanimously.
43

44 **Tab 35 - 64B15-14.005 – Standards for the Use of Controlled Substances for Treatment of Pain**

45 Ms. McNulty summarized the proposed changes to the rule.
46

47 **Action Taken:** Dr. Burns moved to approve the language as presented and open the rule for
48 development. Ms. Malan seconded the motion, which passed unanimously. Dr. Burns moved to find
49 that the proposed changes would have no impact on small business and a SERC was not needed. Dr.
50 Hayden seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.
51

52 **Tab 37 - 64B15-12.005 – Limited Licensure (JAPC Letter)**

53 No action needed.
54

1 **Tab 38 - 64B15-12.0031 – Registration as a Dispensing Physician; Delegation of Dispensing to**
2 **Prescribing Physician Assistants (JAPC Letter)**

3 No action needed.
4

5 **Tab 39 - 64B15-14.001 – Advertisings (JAPC Letter)**

6 No action needed.
7

8 Ms. McNulty advised the Board a conference call would be needed to address several rules. It was the
9 consensus of the Board to hold a conference call meeting on December 15-, 2010 at 1:00pm.
10

11 **No Tab - 64B15-19.002 – Violations and Penalties**

12 Ms. McNulty summarized the proposed changes to the rule. Mr. Schultz asked that additional changed
13 be made to some of the violations, which will be looked at in the future.
14

15 **Action Taken:** Dr. Burns moved to approve the changes as presented and open the rule for
16 development. Dr. Escher seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.
17

18 **No Tab - 64B15-10.002 – Application and Licensure Fees**

19 **No Tab - 64B15-12.010 - New Rule for Area of Critical Need Application**

20 Ms. McNulty advised the new application for practice in an area of critical need was in process and
21 would be presented at the next meeting.
22

23 **Tab 40 - October 2010 Rules Report**

24 Informational only.
25

26 **COUNCIL ON PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS REPORT - Ronald Burns, DO**
27

28 **Tab 10 - September 30, 2010 Meeting Minutes**

29 Dr. Burns provided the members with an overview of the September council meeting.
30

31 **ANESTHESIOLOGY ASSISTANTS COMMITTEE REPORT - Ronald Burns, DO**
32

33 **Tab 11 - September 30, 2010 Meeting Minutes**

34 Dr. Burns provided the members with an overview of the September committee meeting.
35

36 **BUDGET LIAISON REPORT - Rina Malan**
37

38 **Tab 12 - Expenditures by Function Ending June 30, 2010**

39 Informational only.
40

41 **PHARMACISTS FORMULARY REPORT - Joel Rose, DO**

42 No report.
43

44 **UNLICENSED ACTIVITY REPORT - James St. Louis, DO**

45 No report.
46

47 **RATIFICATION OF LICENSURE**
48

49 **Tab 13 - Ratification of Licenses Issued 8/12/2010 – 10/11/2010**

50
51 **Action Taken:** Ms. Malan moved to approve the list as presented. Dr. Burns seconded the motion,
52 which passed unanimously.
53

54 **OLD BUSINESS**

1
2 **NEW BUSINESS**

3
4 **PSU Report** – Mr. Schultz provided the Board with an overview of the current case load. Dr. Rose
5 asked if PSU noticed any trends or increase in certain types of cases. Mr. Schultz indicated there
6 seemed to be an increase in medical record violations. Dr. Rose also thanked Mr. Schultz for his
7 service to the Board.

8
9 **The meeting recessed at 5:45 pm.**

10
11 **SATURDAY, November 6, 2010**
12 **9:00 am**

13
14 **PROBATION AND COMPLIANCE REVIEW**

15
16 **Tab 14 - Gary Blumberg, DO- Petition for Termination of Practice Restriction**

17 Dr. Blumberg was present and represented by Bruce Lamb, Esquire. Dr. Rivenbark from PRN was
18 also present. Dr. Hayden recused herself from this discussion for personal reasons. Dr. Blumberg was
19 requesting the restriction requiring a chaperone be present when treating female patients be removed.

20
21 Mr. Schultz noted for the record that the Department was opposed to the removing of this restriction.

22
23 **Action Taken:** After discussion, Dr. St. Louis moved to deny the petition. Dr. Escher seconded the
24 motion, which passed unanimously.

25
26 **Tab 15 - Susan Janet Hall, DO- Required Appearance with Monitor**

27 Dr. Hall was present without counsel or her monitor. She explained to the Board that her monitor could
28 not be present but would be at the February meeting.

29
30 **Action Taken:** After discussion, Dr. Burns moved to approve the appearance. Dr. Escher seconded
31 the motion, which passed unanimously. Dr. Burns moved to temporarily approve the monitor until the
32 February meeting. Dr. Hayden seconded the motion, which passed with Dr. Escher opposed.

33
34 The Board also directed Dr. Hill to advise her monitor to provide a more detailed CV and clarify her pain
35 management training.

36
37 Dr. Hill advised the Board that she may be moving more than 20 miles from her monitor. She was
38 advised to petition the Board to modify her order regarding this requirement.

39
40 **Additional Action Taken:** Dr. Burns moved to delegate authority to the Chair to temporarily approve,
41 if appropriate, changes to the mileage requirement. Dr. St. Louis seconded the motion, which passed
42 unanimously.

43
44 It was also clarified the monitor should be conducting a review of all charts, not only charts where
45 controlled substances were prescribed.

46
47 **Tab 16 - Jack Danton, DO- Petition for Modification of Final Order**

48 This item was pulled from the agenda.

49
50 **NEW DISCIPLINARY CASES**

51
52 **Tab 17 - Kim Marie Barbel-Johnson, DO – Informal Hearing – DOH Case #2008-27304**

53 Allegations of Complaint: Dismissed

1 Dr. Barbel-Johnson was present and represented by George Indest, Esquire. Dr. St. Louis served on
2 the probable cause panel. Mr. Schultz represented the Department and presented the case to the
3 Board.
4

5 Dr. Burns moved to adopt the agenda materials, including the investigative report into evidence and
6 find that the respondent was properly served and requested an informal hearing before the Board. Ms.
7 Malan seconded the motion, which passed unanimously. Dr. Burns then moved to adopt the findings of
8 fact as presented in the administrative complaint. Dr. Hayden seconded the motion, which passed
9 unanimously.
10

11 The Board heard testimony, including several mitigating factors, from the respondent. The respondent
12 agreed to waive all attorneys' fees should be the Board dismiss the case.
13

14 **Action Taken:** After discussion Dr. Burns moved to dismiss the case. Dr. Escher seconded the
15 motion, which passed unanimously.
16

17 **Tab 18 - Gary S. Brandwein, DO- Settlement Agreement- Case #2007-07795**

18 Granted a continuance and pulled from the agenda.
19

20 **Tab 19 - Matthew S. Devane, DO – Settlement Agreement - Case #2009-22546**

21 Allegations of Complaint: 456.072(1)(q)- violate an order of the Board
22

23 Dr. Devane was not present or represented by counsel. Ms. Malan served on the probable cause
24 panel. Mr. Schultz represented the Department and presented the case to the Board.
25

26 **Action Taken:** After discussion, Dr. Burns moved to adopt the settlement agreement as presented:

- 27 • Letter of Concern
- 28 • \$1000.00 fine to be paid within 90 days of the Final Order
- 29 • \$1847.59 in costs to be paid within 90 days of the Final Order
- 30 • Suspension until the previous citation is paid in full and the respondent petitions the Board for
31 reinstatement
- 32 • Completion of CME within 18 months: 4 hours AOA Category IA, 2 hours of risk management,
33 2 hours of Florida Laws and Rules

34 Dr. Escher seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.
35

36 **Tab 20 - Susan Frances Diaz, DO – Settlement Agreement - Case #2009-15730**

37 Allegations of Complaint: 459.015(1)(g)- fail to perform a statutory or legal obligation
38

39 Dr. Diaz was not present or represented by counsel. Ms. Malan served on the probable cause panel.
40 Mr. Schultz represented the Department and presented the case to the Board. Dr. Diaz previously
41 submitted a letter requesting her appearance be waived.
42

43 **Action Taken:** Dr. Burns moved to waive the appearance. Dr. St. Louis seconded the motion, which
44 passed unanimously. Dr. Burns then moved to adopt the settlement agreement as presented:

- 45 • Reprimand
- 46 • \$1000.00 fine
- 47 • \$678.93 in costs
- 48 • Satisfaction of the NICA judgment within 60 days of the Final Order
- 49 • Completion of a laws and rules course within 18 months of the Final Order

50 Dr. St. Louis seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.
51

52 **Tab 21 - Lewis Mendell, DO – Settlement Agreement - Case #2010-08051**

53 Allegations of Complaint: 459.015(1)(g)- fail to perform a statutory or legal obligation; 459.015(1)(pp)-
54 violate a law or rule of the Board

1
2 Dr. Mendell was present without counsel. Dr. St. Louis served on the probable cause panel. Mr.
3 Schultz represented the Department and presented the case to the Board.
4

5 **Action Taken:** After discussion, Dr. Escher moved to reject the settlement agreement and offer the
6 following counter settlement agreement:

- 7 • Reprimand
- 8 • \$2000.00 fine to be paid within 90 days of the Final Order
- 9 • \$1448.65 in costs to be paid within 90 days of the Final Order
- 10 • Completion of a laws and rules course within 18 months of the Final Order

11 Ms. Malan seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.
12

13 Both parties agreed to the terms of the counter offer for the record.
14

15 **Tab 22 - Gerald R. Swiacki, DO – Settlement Agreement - Case #2009-02639**

16 Allegations of Complaint: 459.015(1)(f)- aid in unlicensed practice; 459.015(1)(aa)- delegating to an
17 unqualified person
18

19 Dr. Swiacki was present and represented by Stephen Slepín, Esquire. Ms. Malan served on the
20 probable cause panel. Mr. Schultz represented the Department and presented the case to the Board.
21

22 **Action Taken:** Dr. Burns moved to adopt the settlement agreement as presented:

- 23 • \$1000.00 fine
- 24 • \$1248.25 in costs
- 25 • Satisfaction of the NICA judgment within 60 days of the Final Order
- 26 • Completion of 4 hours of risk management continuing education within 1 year of the Final
27 Order

28 Dr. Hayden seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.
29

30 **Tab 23 - Lucy Valencia, DO – Settlement Agreement - Case #2010-08869**

31 Allegations of Complaint: 459.015(1)(x)- malpractice; 459.015(1)(t)- inappropriate prescribing;
32 459.015(1)(pp)- violate a law or rule of the Board; 459.015(1)(o)- medical records violation
33

34 Dr. Valencia was present and represented by William Furlow, Esquire. Dr. Hayden served on the
35 probable cause panel. Mr. Schultz represented the Department and presented the case to the Board.
36

37 Ms. McNulty noted several corrections that should be made in the settlement agreement:

- 38 • Paragraph 6- correction of the statutory reference to 459.0137, F.S.
- 39 • Paragraph 7- remove the requirement to “demonstrate the ability to prescribe with skill and
40 safety”
- 41 • Make all references to mileage consistent (30 miles)
- 42 • All references to “probation committee” should be corrected to “the Board”
- 43 • Page 1534- “his” should be corrected to “her”
- 44 • Paragraph 5- the drug course should be changed to the University of Florida Drug Course
45 (rather than the USF course)
46

47 **Action Taken:** Dr. Burns moved to reject the agreement as presented. Dr. St. Louis seconded the
48 motion, which passed unanimously. Dr. Burns moved to offer a counter settlement agreement,
49 including all terms in the original agreement and all corrections proposed by counsel:

- 50 • Reprimand
- 51 • \$15,000.00 fine
- 52 • \$7000.00 in costs

- 1 • Permanent Practice Restriction- cannot prescribe Schedule II controlled substances, cannot
- 2 work in a pain clinic setting or treat patients with chronic pain who require controlled
- 3 substances
- 4 • UF Drug Course
- 5 • Medical record keeping course
- 6 • Probation for 4 years to include: monitor; 25% chart review; reports; cannot prescribe
- 7 controlled substances until completion of the UF drug course

8 Dr. St. Louis seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

9
10 Both parties agreed to the new terms for the record.

11
12 **Tab 24 - Norman E. Agre, DO – Motion for Determination of Waiver and Entry into Final Order**

13 **Hearing - Case #2009-09479**

14 Allegations of Complaint: 456.072(1)(q)- violate an order of the Board

15
16 Dr. Agre was not present or represented by counsel. Ms. Malan served on the probable cause panel.

17 Mr. Schultz represented the Department and presented the case to the Board.

18
19 Dr. Escher moved to accept the agenda materials and investigative report as presented into the record

20 as evidence. Dr. Burns seconded the motion, which passed unanimously. Dr. Burns moved to find that

21 the respondent was properly served and waived their rights to a hearing. Dr. Escher seconded the

22 motion, which passed unanimously. Dr. Burns then moved to adopt the findings of fact as presented in

23 the administrative complaint. Dr. Escher seconded the motion, which passed unanimously. Dr. Burns

24 then moved to adopt the conclusions of law as presented in the administrative complaint. Dr. Escher

25 seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

26
27 **Action Taken:** Dr. Burns moved to impose the following penalty:

- 28 • Suspension until such time the respondent demonstrates the following:
 - 29 ○ Completion of the CME required during the biennium for which he was audited
 - 30 ○ Respondent must reactivate his license
 - 31 ○ Payment of the fines and costs in the previous case
 - 32 ○ Appear before the Board to demonstrate compliance with the above and petition for
 - 33 reinstatement
- 34 • Probation for 1 year with jurisdiction retained to impose probationary terms at the time of
- 35 reinstatement

36 Dr. Hayden seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

37
38 **Additional Action Taken:** Dr. Burns moved to assess costs in the amount of \$1069.87 to be paid

39 within 1 year following reinstatement. Dr. Escher seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

40
41 **Tab 25 - B. Marilee Glauser, DO – Motion for Determination of Waiver and Entry into Final Order**

42 **Hearing - Case #2009-20354**

43 Allegations of Complaint: 459.015(1)(aa)- delegating to an unqualified person; 459.015(1)(w)- unable to

44 practice due to illness or impairment; 456.072(1)(q)- violate an order of the Board

45
46 Dr. Glauser was not present or represented by counsel. Dr. Escher served on the probable cause

47 panel. Mr. Schultz represented the Department and presented the case to the Board.

48
49 Dr. Burns moved to accept the agenda materials and investigative report as presented into the record

50 as evidence. Dr. St. Louis seconded the motion, which passed unanimously. Dr. Burns moved to find

51 that the respondent was properly served and waived their rights to a hearing. Dr. St. Louis seconded

52 the motion, which passed unanimously. Dr. Burns then moved to adopt the findings of fact as

53 presented in the administrative complaint. Ms. Malan seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

1 Dr. Burns then moved to adopt the conclusions of law as presented in the administrative complaint. Dr.
2 St. Louis seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

3
4 **Action Taken:** Dr. Burns moved to impose the following penalty:

- 5 • Suspension until such time the respondent demonstrates the following:
 - 6 ○ Completion of a clinical competency evaluation and compliance with all
 - 7 recommendations of said evaluation
 - 8 ○ PRN evaluation and compliance with all recommendations of said evaluation
 - 9 ○ Appear before the Board to demonstrate the ability to practice with skill and safety and
 - 10 petition for reinstatement
 - 11 ○ Cannot petition for reinstatement until both evaluating entities (PRN and the clinical
 - 12 competency entity) advocate for return to practice
- 13 • Probation with jurisdiction retained to impose probationary terms at the time of reinstatement

14 Dr. Hayden seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

15
16 **Additional Action Taken:** Dr. Burns moved to assess costs in the amount of \$6539.33 to be paid
17 within 1 year following reinstatement. Dr. St. Louis seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

18 19 **PETITION FOR REINSTATEMENT**

20 21 **Tab 26 - James D. Zebranek, DO**

22 Dr. Zebranek was not present or represented by counsel. Mr. Schultz served as counsel to the Board
23 during this case. Ms. McNulty presented the case to the Board.

24
25 **Action Taken:** After discussion, Dr. St. Louis moved to deny the petition for reinstatement. Dr. Escher
26 seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

27 28 **LICENSURE APPEARANCES**

29 30 **Tab 27 - Lisa Edinger-Graves, DO**

31 Dr. Edinger-Graves was present without counsel. Dr. Edinger-Graves was required to appear before
32 the Board to discuss her application, failure to disclose information and active clinical practice
33 requirement.

34
35 **Action Taken:** After discussion, Dr. St. Louis moved to approve the application for licensure. Dr.
36 Escher seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

37 38 **Tab 28 - Kirth Steele, DO**

39 Dr. Steele was present without counsel. Dr. Steele was required to appear before the Board to discuss
40 his application and failure to disclose information regarding previous disciplinary action.

41
42 **Action Taken:** After discussion, Ms. Malan moved to approve the application for licensure. Dr. St.
43 Louis seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

44 45 **Tab 29 - Michael G. Wayne, DO**

46 Dr. Wayne was present without counsel. Dr. Wayne was required to appear before the Board to
47 discuss his application, suspension from a training program and previous disciplinary action.

48
49 **Action Taken:** After discussion, Dr. St. Louis moved to approve the application for licensure. Dr.
50 Escher seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

51 52 **Tab 30 - Raj Chandrakant Yande, DO**

53 Dr. Yande was present without counsel. Dr. Yande was required to appear before the Board to discuss
54 his application, off-sequence training and probation during training.

- 1
- 2 **Action Taken:** After discussion, Dr. Burns moved to approve the application for licensure. Ms. Malan
- 3 seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.
- 4
- 5 **There being no further business the meeting adjourned at 11:35 am.**